

**FILED**

**MAY 19 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

ARMANDO GONZALEZ OREGON,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-72522

Agency No. A79-289-584

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 15, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: B. FLETCHER, TROTT, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Armando Gonzalez Oregon, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his application for cancellation of

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

removal. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's discretionary denial of cancellation of removal for failure to satisfy the "exceptional and extremely unusual hardship" requirement. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003). Gonzales Oregon's contention that his due process rights were violated because the IJ ignored a doctor's letter concerning his son's medical condition is not supported by the record and therefore does not raise a colorable due process challenge. *See Torres-Aguilar v. INS*, 246 F.3d 1267, 1271 (9th Cir. 2001).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**